

House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 297

February Session, 2002

Substitute House Bill No. 5708

House of Representatives, April 3, 2002

The Committee on Environment reported through REP. STRATTON of the 17th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO THE CONNECTICUT ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. Section 22a-1b of the general statutes is repealed and the
- 2 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2002*):
- 3 [The General Assembly directs that, to the fullest extent possible:]
- 4 (a) As used in sections 22a-1b to 22a-1i, inclusive, as amended by
- 5 this act:
- 6 (1) "Sponsoring agency" means the state agency, department or
- 7 <u>institution responsible for the preparation of an environmental impact</u>
- 8 evaluation or environmental assessment.
- 9 (2) "Early public scoping process" means a comment period and a
- 10 public scoping meeting.

11 (3) "Public scoping meeting" means a meeting in which members of

- 12 the public and interested agency representatives may participate in an
- 13 informational discussion regarding a proposed action by the
- 14 sponsoring agency which may affect the environment that is held
- 15 <u>before the sponsoring agency selects the final site for the action or the</u>
- 16 exact configuration of the action.
- 17 (4) "Environmental impact evaluation" means a detailed written
- 18 document concerning the environmental impacts of a proposed action,
- 19 as described in subsection (d) of this section.
- 20 (5) "Environmental assessment" means a document prepared
- 21 pursuant to section 22a-1f, as amended by this act.
- 22 (6) "Environmental Monitor" means a publication issued by the
- 23 <u>Council on Environmental Quality.</u>
- [(a)] (b) Each state department, institution or agency shall review its
- 25 policies and practices to insure that they are consistent with the state's
- 26 environmental policy as set forth in sections 22a-1 and 22a-1a.
- 27 (c) (1) Each sponsoring agency shall, prior to a decision to draft an
- 28 <u>environmental impact evaluation pursuant to subsection (d) of this</u>
- 29 section for an action which may significantly affect the environment or
- 30 <u>an environmental assessment, conduct an early public scoping process.</u>
- 31 (2) To initiate an early public scoping process, the sponsoring
- 32 agency shall simultaneously provide notice on a form that has been
- 33 approved by the Council on Environmental Quality, which form shall
- 34 include, but not be limited to, the date, time and location of the
- 35 proposed public scoping meeting and the duration of the public
- 36 comment period pursuant to subdivision (3) of this subsection, to the
- 37 <u>council, the Office of Policy and Management and to any other state</u>
- 38 agency whose activities may reasonably be expected to affect or be
- 39 affected by the proposed action.
- 40 (3) Members of the public and any interested state agency
- 41 representatives may submit comments on the nature and extent of any

environmental impacts of the proposed action during the forty-five days following the filing of the notice of the early public scoping process pursuant to this section.

- (4) The sponsoring agency shall hold a public scoping meeting not less than twenty-five days and not more than thirty-five days following the filing of the notice of the early public scoping process pursuant to this section, except that any public meeting held prior to the initiation of the early public scoping process may satisfy this subdivision if the public and any state agency that will be affected by the proposed action had an opportunity at such meeting to comment on the proposed action.
 - (5) Any agency submitting comments or participating in the public scoping meeting pursuant to this section shall include, but not be limited to, information about (A) the resources of any proposed site of the proposed action, (B) any plans of the commenting agency that may affect or be affected by the proposed action, (C) any permits or approvals that may be necessary for the proposed action, and (D) any appropriate measures that would mitigate the impact of the proposed action, including, but not limited to, recommendations as to preferred sites for the proposed action or alternatives for the proposed action that have not been identified by the sponsoring agency.
 - (6) The sponsoring agency shall consider any comments received pursuant to this section or any information obtained during the public scoping meeting in selecting the proposed actions to be addressed in the environmental impact evaluation or environmental assessment and shall evaluate in its environmental impact evaluation or environmental assessment any substantive issues raised during the early public scoping process that pertain to a proposed action or site or alternative actions or sites.
 - [(b)] (d) Each state department, institution or agency responsible for the primary recommendation or initiation of actions which may significantly affect the environment shall in the case of each such proposed action make a detailed written evaluation of its

environmental impact before deciding whether to undertake or approve such action. All such environmental impact evaluations shall be detailed statements setting forth the following: (1) A description of the proposed action which shall include, but not be limited to, a description of the purpose and need of the proposed action, and, in the case of a proposed facility, a description of the infrastructure needs of such facility, including, but not limited to, parking, water supply, wastewater treatment and the square footage of the facility; (2) the environmental consequences of the proposed action, including cumulative, direct and indirect effects which might result during and subsequent to the proposed action; (3) any adverse environmental effects which cannot be avoided and irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources should the proposal be implemented; (4) alternatives to the proposed action, including the alternative of not proceeding with the proposed action [; (5)] and, in the case of a proposed facility, a list of all the sites controlled by or reasonably available to the sponsoring agency that would meet the stated purpose of such facility; (5) an evaluation of the proposed action's consistency and each alternative's consistency with the state plan of conservation and development, an evaluation of each alternative in terms of whether it avoids, minimizes, or mitigates environmental impacts, and, where appropriate, a detailed mitigation [measures] plan proposed to minimize environmental impacts, including, but not limited to, where appropriate, a site plan; (6) an analysis of the short term and long term economic, social and environmental costs and benefits of the proposed action; (7) the effect of the proposed action on the use and conservation of energy resources; and (8) a description of the effects of the proposed action on sacred sites or archaeological sites of state or national importance. In the case of an action which affects existing housing, the evaluation shall also contain a detailed statement analyzing (A) housing consequences of the proposed action, including direct and indirect effects which might result during and subsequent to the proposed action by income group as defined in section 8-37aa and by race, and (B) the consistency of the housing consequences with the long-range state housing plan adopted under section 8-37t. As used

75 76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87 88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97 98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

in this section, "sacred sites" and "archaeological sites" shall have the same meaning as in section 10-381.

- 112 (e) (1) The Council on Environmental Quality shall publish any 113 notices it receives pursuant to sections 22a-1b to 22a-1i, inclusive, as 114 amended by this act, twice each month in the Environmental Monitor. 115 Filings of such notices received by five o'clock p.m. on the fifteenth day 116 of each month shall be published in the Environmental Monitor that is issued seven to ten days thereafter. Filings of such notices received 117 118 between the fifteenth and five o'clock p.m. on the last day of each 119 month shall be published in the Environmental Monitor that is issued 120 seven to ten days thereafter.
- 121 (2) The Council on Environmental Quality shall post the
 122 Environmental Monitor on its Internet site and distribute a
 123 subscription or a copy of the Environmental Monitor by electronic mail
 124 to any state agency or person upon request. The council shall also
 125 distribute the Environmental Monitor to each municipality for posting
 126 in public libraries or town halls.
- Sec. 2. Section 22a-1c of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2002*):
- 129 [Actions which may significantly affect the environment are defined 130 for the purposes of section 22a-1b as As used in sections 22a-1 to 22a-131 1i, inclusive, as amended by this act, "actions which may significantly 132 affect the environment" means individual activities or a sequence of 133 planned activities proposed to be undertaken by state departments, 134 institutions or agencies, or funded in whole or in part by the state, 135 which could have a major impact on the state's land, water, air, historic 136 structures and landmarks as defined in section 10-320c, existing 137 housing, or other environmental resources, or could serve short term 138 to the disadvantage of long term environmental goals. [For the 139 purposes of section 22a-1b,] Such actions shall include but not be 140 limited to new projects and programs of state agencies and new 141 projects supported by state contracts and grants, but shall not include 142 (1) emergency measures undertaken in response to an immediate

threat to public health or safety; or (2) activities in which state agency participation is ministerial in nature, involving no exercise of

- discretion on the part of the state department, institution or agency.
- Sec. 3. Section 22a-1d of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2002*):
- 148 (a) [Evaluations required by sections 22a-1a to 22a-1f, inclusive,] 149 Environmental impact evaluations and a summary thereof, including 150 any negative findings, and environmental statements otherwise 151 required and prepared subsequent to July 8, 1975, shall be submitted 152 for comment and review to the Council on Environmental Quality, the 153 Department of Environmental Protection, the Connecticut Historical 154 Commission, the Department of Economic and Community 155 Development in the case of a proposed action that affects existing 156 housing, and other appropriate agencies, and to the town clerk of each 157 municipality affected thereby, and shall be made available to the 158 public for inspection and comment at the same time. The [department, 159 institution or agency responsible for preparing an evaluation] sponsoring agency shall publish forthwith a notice of the availability of 160 161 [such] its environmental impact evaluation and summary in a 162 newspaper of general circulation in the municipality at least once a 163 week for three consecutive weeks and in the Connecticut Law Journal. 164 The [department, institution, or agency preparing an evaluation 165 required by section 22a-1b] sponsoring agency preparing an 166 environmental impact evaluation or finding that proposed action shall 167 have no significant environmental impact, shall hold a public hearing 168 on the evaluation or finding that proposed action shall have no 169 significant environmental impact if twenty-five persons or an 170 association having not less than twenty-five persons requests such a 171 hearing within ten days of the publication of the notice in the 172 Connecticut Law Journal.
 - (b) All comments received by the [agency, department or institution preparing the evaluation] <u>sponsoring agency</u> shall be forwarded to the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management.

173

174

176 (c) All comments so forwarded to the Secretary of the Office of 177 Policy and Management shall be available for public inspection.

- Sec. 4. Section 22a-1e of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2002*):
- 180 The Office of Policy and Management shall review all [such 181 evaluations and statements, environmental impact evaluations 182 together with the comments thereon, and shall make a written 183 determination as to whether such evaluation or finding satisfies the requirements of this part and regulations adopted pursuant thereto, 184 185 which determination shall be made public and forwarded to the 186 agency, department or institution preparing such evaluation. Such 187 determination may require the revision of any evaluation found to be 188 inadequate. Any member of the Office of Policy and Management 189 which has prepared an evaluation and submitted it for review shall not 190 participate in the decision of the office on such evaluation. The 191 [agency, department or institution preparing the evaluation] 192 sponsoring agency shall take into account all public and agency 193 comments when making its final decision on the proposed action.
- Sec. 5. Section 22a-1f of the general statutes, as amended by section 54 of public act 01-4 of the June special session, is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1*, 2002):
 - (a) [Evaluations required by section 22a-1b] Environmental impact evaluations need not be prepared for projects for which environmental statements have previously been prepared pursuant to other state or federal laws or regulations, provided all such statements shall be considered and reviewed as if they were prepared under sections 22a-1a to 22a-1f, inclusive, as amended by this act.
 - (b) [Evaluations required by section 22a-1b] <u>Environmental impact evaluations</u> shall not be required for the Connecticut Juvenile Training School project, as defined in subsection (l) of section 4b-55, and the extension of such project otherwise known as the Connecticut River Interceptor Sewer Project, or a project, as defined in subdivision (16) of

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

section 10a-109c, which involves the conversion of an existing structure for educational rather than office or commercial use.

- (c) A constituent unit of the state system of higher education may provide for [the evaluations required pursuant to section 22a-1b] environmental impact evaluations for any priority higher education facility project, as defined in subsection (f) of section 4b-55, or for any higher education project involving an expenditure of not more than two million dollars, by (1) reviewing and filing the evaluation for such project with the Office of Policy and Management for its review pursuant to section 22a-1e, as amended by this act, or (2) including such project in a cumulative environmental assessment approved by the Office of Policy and Management.
- Sec. 6. Section 22a-12 of the general statutes is amended by adding subsection (c) as follows (*Effective October 1, 2002*):
- (NEW) (c) Upon submitting its report to the Governor pursuant to this section, the council shall publish the report in the Environmental Monitor, as defined in section 22a-1b, as amended by this act.
 - Sec. 7. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2002) The Office of Policy and Management, in conjunction with the Department of Environmental Protection, shall be responsible for enforcing the implementation of any mitigation plan developed pursuant to section 22a-1b of the general statutes, as amended by this act, and approved pursuant to section 22a-1e of the general statutes, as amended by this act. Upon receipt of a complaint from any person that the sponsoring agency has not properly implemented such mitigation plan, the Office of Policy and Management, in conjunction with the Department of Environmental Protection, shall investigate such complaint and take any action necessary to enforce the implementation of the plan.

This act shall take effect as follows:				
Section 1	October 1, 2002			
Sec. 2	October 1, 2002			

Sec. 3	October 1, 2002
Sec. 4	October 1, 2002
Sec. 5	October 1, 2002
Sec. 6	October 1, 2002
Sec. 7	October 1, 2002

ENV Joint Favorable Subst.

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either House thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Fund-Type	Agency Affected	FY 03 \$	FY 04 \$
Various Funds-	Departments of Transportation;	Significant	Significant
Costs	Economic and Community		
	Development; Environmental		
	Protection; Office of Policy and		
	Management; Council on		
	Environmental Quality; Various		

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

This bill makes various changes to the Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA) which increase costs to the state. These changes include the creation of a "public scoping process", increasing the amount of information environmental impact evaluations must contain, expanding the applicability of actions affecting the environment to CEPA, notice reuqirements and reviews.

It is estimated that the Council of Environmental Quality (CEQ) can post notices twice monthly in the Environmental Monitor within budgetary resources. This procedure can be handled electronically through CEQ's website. However, continuously updating a distribution list, with the burden on CEQ to distribute the information to state agencies, any person requesting the information and each municipality, could increase costs not currently budgeted for.

It is anticipated that the Department of Economic and Community Development (DECD) will incur additional costs due to the public scoping process. It is estimated that this process would impact approximately 20 projects a year. These projects would not have required the public information process as required in the bill. It is

anticipated that each project would utilize 4 employees for 48 hours, in addition to consultant costs, totaling \$7,300. For the approximately 20 projects, the additional costs would be approximately \$146,000 per year.

It is anticipated that 4 projects a year would be impacted at the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). These projects would require an early scoping process. Additional costs incurred by the DEP for staff time are estimated at \$25,000-\$30,000 per year.

The bill also requires the Office of Policy and Management (OPM), in conjunction with the DEP, to enforce the mitigation plan. It is anticipated that OPM will require one additional staff person with associated annual expenses of \$85,000 and \$20,000 for legal fees in order to implement these provisions. Contingent on the hiring of an additional person at OPM, the DEP can assist OPM, within existing resources.

The Department of Transportation (DOT) indicates that any revision to the Connecticut Environmental Protection Act would require a briefing by DOT's Office of Environmental Planning for other DOT staff. Five briefings to 150 DOT staff conducted by three environmental planning staff is estimated to result in a one-time cost of about \$13,600, which can be absorbed within DOT's current budget.

However, DOT also believes that the bill could require scoping for many projects for which no significant environmental impacts are anticipated. DOT estimates that there are up to 200 of these projects per year. Assuming that no substantive comments are received, each scoping process would require about 238 person hours to prepare, conduct and document. This is a cost of about \$10,000 per project, for a potential total cost of \$2 million per year.

Additional agencies could incur costs not specified for due to the changes in CEPA. The exact impact is indeterminate at this time.

OLR Bill Analysis

sHB 5708

AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO THE CONNECTICUT ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

SUMMARY:

This bill creates a "public scoping process" to provide the public with information about the environmental impact of a state agency action before it chooses a final site or exact configuration. It requires a state agency proposing an action that may affect the environment (a "sponsoring agency") to hold an informational meeting and receive comments from the public and other state agencies before deciding to draft an environmental impact evaluation (EIE). By law and regulation, an EIE is a detailed written document about the environmental impact of a proposed action.

The bill requires the sponsoring agency to respond to substantive issues raised during the scoping process in the EIE or environmental assessment it prepares. (The bill's definition of environmental assessment is unclear and differs from that in regulations. By regulation, an environmental assessment is a process to determine if a proposed action may have a significant impact on the environment.)

The bill increases the amount of information EIEs must include. It requires a sponsoring agency, where appropriate, to prepare detailed plans to mitigate the environmental impact of its proposed actions for review and approval by the Office of Policy and Management (OPM). It authorizes OPM and the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to enforce such plans once approved and to investigate complaints that they are not being properly implemented.

The bill expands the applicability of "actions affecting the environment" to CEPA statutes concerning evaluation reviews, notice to municipalities, OPM review, and the public health department's risk assessment of environmental contamination. Under current law, this definition applies only to the law concerning EIEs.

The bill requires the Council on Environmental Quality to post notices

pertinent to the public scoping process twice monthly in the "Environmental Monitor," a publication the bill creates, and specifies when notice must be published and to whom it must be distributed. It requires the council to publish its annual report in the Monitor after submitting it to the governor.

The bill also makes conforming changes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2002

PUBLIC SCOPING PROCESS

The purpose of the Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA) is to identify and evaluate the impact of proposed state actions that could significantly affect the environment. By law, an action is an individual activity, or sequence of planned activities, proposed by a state department, institution, or agency, or funded in whole or in part by the state. CEPA requires that an agency proposing such an action prepare an EIE before deciding whether to proceed.

The bill requires a sponsoring agency to hold an informational meeting before choosing either the final site or exact configuration for its proposed action, and before it drafts an EIE or environmental assessment.

The sponsoring agency must simultaneously provide notice of the scoping process, on a form the council approves, to (1) the council, (2) OPM, and (3) any other state agency whose activities the proposed action may reasonably affect. Notice must include the date, time and location of the meeting, and the duration of the public comment period.

The sponsoring agency must hold an informational meeting between 25 and 35 days after it files notice. But it need not hold the meeting if the public already had an opportunity to comment on the proposed action at a meeting held before the sponsoring agency began the scoping process. State agency representatives and the public have 45 days after notice is filed to comment on the proposed action's environmental impact. Thus, they can submit comments for between 10 and 20 days after the meeting.

State Agency Comments

The bill requires a state agency commenting on a sponsoring agency's proposed action to discuss:

- 1. the resources of any site being considered for the proposed action;
- 2. any of its plans that may affect or be affected by the proposed action;
- 3. permits or approvals the proposed action requires; and
- 4. appropriate measures to mitigate the proposed action's impact, including alternative sites, or alternatives to the proposed action the sponsoring agency has not yet identified.

The bill requires the sponsoring agency to consider the comments it receives in deciding which proposed actions to address in its EIE or environmental assessment. It must evaluate in its EIE or environmental assessment any substantive issues raised that pertain to a proposed action or site or alternative actions or sites.

Environmental Impact Evaluations

The bill increases the amount of information EIEs must provide. Under current law, an EIE must include, among other information, a description of the proposed action, information about possible direct and indirect environmental consequences, and adverse environmental effects that cannot be avoided. To these, the bill adds information about proposed actions in general and proposed facilities in particular. It requires the sponsoring agency to consider alternative sites for the proposed action.

For all proposed actions, the bill requires the EIE to include:

- 1. a description of the proposed action's purpose and need:
- 2. the cumulative effects on the environment, in addition to the direct and indirect effects on the environment the law already requires, that might result during and after the proposed action;
- 3. an evaluation of the consistency of the proposed action and each alternative with the State Plan of Conservation and Development;
- 4. an evaluation of whether each alternative avoids, minimizes, or mitigates any environmental impact; and
- 5. a detailed mitigation plan that includes a site plan, where appropriate. (Under current law, an EIE must include mitigation measures to minimize environmental impacts.)

In addition, the bill requires that EIEs for proposed facilities include:

1. a description of the proposed facility's infrastructure needs, including parking, water supply, and wastewater treatment, as well as the facility's size; and

2. a list of all sites controlled by, or reasonably available to, the sponsoring agency that would meet the stated purpose of the proposed facility.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITOR

The bill requires the council to publish notices twice monthly in the Environmental Monitor. Notices received before the 15th of each month must be published in one issue and remaining notices in the second issue. Notices must be published between 7 and 10 days after they are received. The council must post the Monitor on its Internet site and send a subscription or copy by e-mail to any state agency or person requesting it. It also must distribute the Monitor to each municipality for posting in town halls or public libraries.

BACKGROUND

Connecticut Environmental Policy Act

This Act is meant to identify and evaluate the impact of proposed state actions that could significantly affect the environment. It does not mandate a particular outcome or prohibit certain activities. It requires that certain information be available to decision makers and the public, and that it be considered in deciding whether and how to proceed with the project.

Actions Affecting the Environment

By law, an action is an individual activity or sequence of planned activities proposed by state departments, institutions or agencies, or funded in whole, or in part, by the state. An action affecting the environment is one that could (1) have a major impact on the state's land, water, air, certain historic landmarks and structures, existing housing or other environmental resource, or (2) serve short-term to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals. It does not include emergency measures undertaken in response to an immediate threat to public health or safety, or ministerial activities, involving no exercise

of discretion on the state agency's part.

COMMENT

Definition of Environmental Assessment

The bill defines "environmental assessment" as a document prepared according to CGS § 22a-1f. However, that section does not define the term and refers to it only in the context of higher education projects. By regulation, an environmental assessment is a process to determine if a proposed action may have a significant impact on the environment. The process requires a sponsoring agency to undertake an environmental assessment, using specific criteria, to determine if it needs to prepare an EIE or Finding of No Significant Impact (a written document indicating a proposed action would not have a significant environmental impact).

COMMITTEE ACTION

Environment Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 28 Nay 0